Model Diagnostics for Censored Regression via Randomized Survival Probabilities

Longhai Li, Tingxuan Wu, and Cindy Feng

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, SK, CANADA

16 July 2021 Statistics 2021 Canada The 6th Canadian Conference in Applied Statistics

(日) (國) (필) (필) (필) 표

- Thanks to my co-authors: Tingxuan Wu and Cindy Feng.
- Thanks to the fundings from NSERC and CFI of Canada.

• Thanks to Prof. Khan for inviting me to this session.

Introduction

2 Review of Existing Model Diagnostics Methods for Censored Regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- 3 Normalized Randomized Survival Probabilities
- A Simulation Study
- 5 A Real Data Example
- 6 Conclusions and Future Work

Introduction

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

Introduction

- Residuals in normal regression are used to assess a model's goodness-of-fit (GOF) and discover directions for improving the model. However, there is a lack of residuals with a characterized reference distribution for censored regression.
- In this paper, we propose to diagnose censored regression with a new residual called normalized randomized survival probabilities (NRSP).
- Our simulation studies show that, although the GOF tests with NRSP residuals are not as powerful as a traditional GOF test method, a non-linear test based on NRSP residuals has significantly higher power in detecting non-linearity.
- The applications to a real dataset show that the NRSP residual diagnostics successfully captures a subtle non-linear relationship in the dataset, which is not detected by the graphical diagnostics with CS residuals and existing GOF tests.

(B)

Review of Existing Model Diagnostics Methods for Censored Regression

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ Ξ

Cox-Snell Residuals in Absence of Censored Observations

- Suppose the survival function of T_i^* based on a postulated model is defined as $S_i(t_i^*) = P(T_i^* > t_i^*)$, where the subscript *i* indicates that the probability depends covariate x_i for the *i*th individual.
- The survival probabilities $S_i(T_i^*)$ are uniformly distributed when $S_i(\cdot)$ is the survival function of the true model for T_i^* .
- The widely used Cox-Snell (CS) residual is defined as $r_i^c(T_i^*) = -\log(S_i(T_i^*))$, where $-\log(\cdot)$ is the inverse survival function of exp(1). Therefore, CS residuals are exponentially distributed under the true model.
- One can also define normally-distributed residuals: $r_i^n(T_i^*) = \Phi^{-1}(S_i(T_i^*))$, which we call by **normalized SPs**. Then we can apply a variety of residual diagnostic methods for normal regression to diagnose $S_i(\cdot)$.

Cox-Snell Residuals in Presence of Censored Observations

- When T_i^* is right-censored, we only observe (T_i, d_i) , where $T_i = \min(T_i^*, C_i), d_i = I(T_i^* < C_i)$.
- The unmodified survival probability (USP), S_i(T_i), is larger than S_i(T_i^{*}) since T_i < T_i^{*}. The distribution of S_i(T_i) is no longer uniformly distributed under the true model.
- The unmodified CS residuals, $r_i^c(T_i) = -\log(S_i(T_i))$ is a censored observation from exp(1) under the true model.
- The normalized unmodified SPs (NUSP), $r_i^n(T_i)$ is a censored observation from N(0,1) under the true model.
- The most widely used diagnostic tool is to apply KM methods to $\{(r_i^c(T_i), d_i) | i = 1, ..., n\}$ to get an estimate of the CHF of CS residuals. Under the true model, the CHF of CS residuals is expected to be close to the 45° straight line.
- Many GOF test methods are proposed to check the distributions of censored observations. The function gofTestCensored in R package EnvStats provides an SF test for multiply censored data.

Modifying Residuals for Censored Observations

- Checking the distribution of residuals is only the first-line model diagnostics. The GOF test results typically cannot reveal the nature of model mis-specification, especially that related to *x_i*, such as non-linearity, lack of independence, non-constant variances.
- A commonly used method is to shrink the USPs of the censored failure times:

$$S'_i(T_i, d_i, \eta) = \begin{cases} S_i(T_i), & \text{if } T_i \text{ is uncensored, i.e., } d_i = 1, \\ \eta S_i(T_i), & \text{if } T_i \text{ is censored, i.e., } d_i = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

 Different choices of η exist based on different arguments, eg, 1/2, 1/e. However, their distributions under the true model are very complicated due to censoring.

Normalized Randomized Survival Probabilities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Definition of Randomized Survival Probabilities

• The randomized survival probability (**RSP**) for T_i is defined as:

$$S_i^R(T_i, d_i, U_i) = \begin{cases} S_i(T_i), & \text{if } T_i \text{ is uncensored, i.e., } d_i = 1, \\ U_i S_i(T_i), & \text{if } T_i \text{ is censored, i.e., } d_i = 0, \end{cases}$$

where U_i is a uniform random number on (0, 1).

• We will show that the randomized SP is uniformly distributed on (0, 1) given x_i under the true model. Therefore, we can transform them into residuals with any desired distribution. We prefer to transforming them with the normal quantile:

$$r_i^{\text{NRSP}}(T_i, d_i, U_i) = \Phi^{-1}(S_i^R(T_i, d_i, U_i)).$$
(3)

• We call the residuals in (3) by normalized randomized SP (**NRSP**) residuals.

(2)

Illustration the Definition and Uniformity of RSP



A Upper-bound for Replicated NRSP-based Test p-values

- Suppose p_1, \ldots, p_J are J replicated NRSP test p-values for a fitted model.
- A formula for bounding tail probabilities of order statistics of correlated samples gives the following inequality for the *r*th order statistics p_(r):

$$P(p_{(r)} < t) \le \min\left(1, t\frac{J}{r}\right).$$
(4)

- Based on (4), a p-value upper bound for observed (simulated) rth statistics $p_{(r)}^{obs}$ is given by min $\left(1, p_{(r)}^{obs} \frac{J}{r}\right)$.
- To avoid the selection of r, we report the minimal upper bound for r = 1, ..., J, denoted by p_{min} :

$$p_{\min} = \min_{r=1,\dots,J} \min\left(1, p_{(r)}^{obs} \frac{J}{r}\right).$$
(5)

When a model has a small p_{\min} , it is highly suspected that the model can be improved for better fitting the dataset.

A Simulation Study

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

- The response variable is simulated from a Weibull AFT regression model with a non-linear link function: $\log(T_i^*) = 2 + 5\sin(2x_i) + \epsilon_i$. The covariate x_i was generated uniformly on $(0, 3\pi/2)$. The shape parameter of the Weibull distribution was set as 1.8. The censoring times C_i were generated from $\exp(\theta)$ with θ varied for obtaining different censoring rates.
- We considered fitting a Weibull AFT model assuming log(T^{*}_i) = β₀ + β₁x_i + ε_i as a wrong model, and fitting a Weibull AFT model assuming log(T^{*}_i) = β₀ + β₁sin(2x_i) + ε_i as the true model.

Graphical Model Checking



non-linear effect in covariate. The dataset has a sample size n = 800 and a censoring rate $c \approx 50\%$.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Table 1: Comparison of the percentages of model rejections of various statistical tests. A model is rejected when the test p-value is smaller than 0.05.

Under the true model								Under the wrong model							
n	100 <i>c</i>	NRSP-SW	NRSP-SF	NUSP-CSF	NRSP-AOV	NRSP-KS	NMSP-SW	Dev-SW	NRSP-SW	NRSP-SF	NUSP-CSF	NRSP-AOV	NRSP-KS	NMSP-SW	Dev-SW
100	0	4.90	4.65	4.65	3.00	0.00	4.90	4.80	62.30	43.85	43.85	100.00	0.40	62.30	63.85
200	0	3.75	4.60	4.60	3.75	0.00	3.75	3.75	95.00	89.85	89.85	100.00	5.90	95.00	95.75
400	0	4.50	4.15	4.15	3.60	0.00	4.50	4.20	99.95	99.95	99.95	100.00	45.80	99.95	99.95
800	0	4.45	4.50	4.50	3.05	0.05	4.45	5.10	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	98.40	100.00	100.00
100	20	4.90	5.10	4.80	3.50	0.10	30.35	12.40	50.55	34.60	50.70	100.00	0.20	78.15	80.00
200	20	5.45	5.15	5.35	4.35	0.00	55.20	20.25	88.05	80.05	91.55	100.00	2.00	98.85	98.85
400	20	5.00	5.25	4.45	3.30	0.05	88.00	37.90	99.75	99.60	99.90	100.00	25.20	100.00	100.00
800	20	5.35	5.60	5.00	2.60	0.20	99.60	68.80	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	89.25	100.00	100.00
100	50	4.45	4.95	3.25	3.75	0.35	99.95	94.25	40.35	26.55	56.95	100.00	0.30	99.80	99.80
200	50	5.70	6.30	2.65	3.40	0.55	100.00	99.75	82.35	72.05	92.80	100.00	0.60	100.00	100.00
400	50	5.45	5.15	1.60	3.20	0.85	100.00	100.00	99.50	99.05	99.85	100.00	7.95	100.00	100.00
800	50	4.55	4.10	1.35	3.60	0.65	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	55.75	100.00	100.00
100	80	4.46	4.67	1.73	2.64	1.98	100.00	100.00	8.52	5.33	21.36	92.03	1.12	100.00	100.00
200	80	4.28	4.58	1.83	3.16	2.04	100.00	100.00	24.49	14.77	47.00	99.90	2.49	100.00	100.00
400	80	5.07	5.23	0.72	4.20	3.02	100.00	100.00	59.92	46.44	83.24	100.00	2.20	100.00	100.00
800	80	4.90	5.01	0.46	3.41	2.17	100.00	100.00	93.86	89.73	98.61	100.00	4.44	100.00	100.00

A Real Data Example

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Source:

Schumacher M, Bastert G, Bojar H, et al. Randomized 2 x 2 Trial Evaluating Hormonal Treatment and the Duration of Chemotherapy in Node-Positive Breast Cancer Patients. German Breast Cancer Study Group.. Journal of Clinical Oncology 1994; 12(10): 2086-2093. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1994.12.10.2086

- The sample size is 686. The censoring rate is 56.5%.
- The response variable of interest is the recurrence-free time, which is the time from entry to the study until a recurrence of cancer or death.
- We consider the following covariates: the tamoxifen treatment indicator, patient age, menopausal status, size and grade of the tumour, number of positive lymph nodes, progesterone and estrogen receptor status.

Graphical Checking and NRSP-based GOF Tests



Figure 3: NRSP residuals of the Weibull, log-logistic, and log-normal AFT models fitted to the breast cancer patients dataset. The last column presents the histograms of 1000 replicated NRSP-SW p-values of each model. The vertical red lines indicate p_{\min} calculated with the 1000 replicated NRSP p-values.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Table 2: Model diagnostic test p-values or p_{min} for NRSP tests and AIC values of different models for the breast cancer data. The numbers in brackets for NRSP tests are the percentages of replicated NRSP test p-values being ≤ 0.05 .

Model	Weibull	log-logistic	log-normal	log-normal with log(nodes)
AIC	5181	5153	5139	5121
NUSP-CSF p-values	1.69e-5	1.94e-3	0.133	0.172
NRSP-SW pmin	2.43e-05 (100.00)	6.01e-03 (99.00)	4.36e-01 (6.90)	5.29e-01 (5.70)
NRSP-SF pmin	9.50e-05 (100.00)	1.52e-02 (97.00)	4.85e-01 (5.80)	6.37e-01 (3.50)
NRSP-AOV pmin	1.97e-02 (60.40)	7.21e-02 (46.00)	5.22e-02 (52.40)	9.99e-01 (0.50)

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

Graphical Checking of Non-Linearity



Figure 4: NRSP non-linearity residual diagnosis for the breast cancer data. The red vertical lines in the histograms of replicated NRSP-AOV p-values show the p_{min} values.

Conclusion and Future Work

- This paper has proposed using randomized survival probabilities (RSPs) to conduct model diagnostics for censored regression. NRSP residuals are approximately distributed with N(0,1) under the true model. With this unified reference distribution for NRSP residuals, we can conduct a wide variety of residual diagnostics for censored regression.
- Our simulation studies show that a non-linearity test with NRSP residuals has significantly higher power in detecting non-linearity than existing GOF tests. The real data analysis shows that the NRSP residual diagnostics successfully captures a subtle non-linear relationship in the dataset.
- We expect that many other specific model mis-specification tests that target a particular model discrepancy have higher powers than GOF tests. For example, statistical tests for checking proportional hazard assumption in Cox regression seem to be demanded very often.

(本語)と 本語(と) 本語(と

- These slides are extracted from this paper: Li, L., Wu, T., Feng, C., 2021. Model Diagnostics for Censored Regression via Randomized Survival Probabilities. <u>Statistics in Medicine</u> 40, 14821497. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.8852
- A link to the published version is available from my website: https://math.usask.ca/~longhai/.
- R functions for computing NRSP residuals for survreg and coxph objects are also available on my website.